

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Delmarva Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1640-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, Delmarva Power & Light Company (Delmarva) of Wilmington, Delaware, tendered for filing revised rate schedule sheets, and a request to suspend the operation of its fuel clause for the purposes of making a one-time refund of fuel expense over-collections and of resetting its Fuel Adjustments. The Company is proposing several revisions to its rate schedules to define more clearly the operation of the fuel adjustment clause. These revisions involve the following customers and rate schedules: Seaford, Rate Schedule 62; Berlin, Rate Schedule 63; Middletown, Rate Schedule 65; and Smyrna, Rate Schedule 68. Delmarva requests an effective date of October 27, 1995.

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners, L.P.

[Docket No. QF95-302-000]

On August 16, 1995, Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners, L.P. of 366 Madison Avenue, Suite 1103, New York, New York 10017, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the natural gas-fired topping-cycle cogeneration facility is located in Kings County, Brooklyn, New York. The facility will consist of two combustion turbine generators, two unfired heat recovery boilers, two extraction/condensing steam turbine generators, and related interconnection equipment. The maximum net power production capacity of the facility will be 315 MW. Thermal energy recovered from the facility will be used for space heating, water distillation and waste water treatment purposes. Installation of the facility began in January of 1995.

Comment date: October 11, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E.,

Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-22451 Filed 9-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-709-000, et al.]

Southern Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

September 1, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Southern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-709-000]

Take notice that on August 25, 1995, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP95-709-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.212, and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212, and 157.216) for authorization to relocate certain delivery point facilities which serve Dalton Utilities (Dalton). Southern makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-406-000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Southern proposes to abandon two four-inch meter runs, a heater and some regulating equipment at its existing Dalton No. 2 Delivery Point which is currently located on Southern's 12-inch Chattanooga Branch Lines in Whitfield County, Georgia. Southern also proposes to construct and operate a dual 4-inch orifice meter, heater, regulators, and other appurtenant facilities in order to provide transportation service to Dalton No. 2, at the relocation cite. It is stated that Southern proposes to relocate the facilities to a site on its 12-inch Chattanooga Branch Lines in Whitfield County, Georgia. The estimated cost of the relocation of the delivery facilities is approximately \$101,500. It is indicated

that Dalton will reimburse Southern for the total actual cost of relocating the facilities. Dalton has requested the relocation to serve more efficiently the gas requirements on its distribution system which are growing in the area of the proposed relocation point.

Southern states that it will continue to transport gas to the relocated Dalton No. 2 delivery point, pursuant to its Rate Schedules FT and IT. Dalton does not propose to add or change any transportation demand to its firm service as a result of the relocation of the delivery point. Southern further states that the installation of the proposed facilities will have no adverse impact on its peak day or firm requirements.

Comment date: October 16, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Colorado Interstate Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-711-000]

Take notice that on August 25, 1995, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP95-711-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.216 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216 and 157.212) for authorization to abandon the existing Fort Lupton taps and to construct new delivery facilities at the same location for Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo), a local distribution company, under CIG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-21-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CIG proposes to abandon two taps and construct a new meter station and appurtenant facilities at Section 34, Township 2 North, Range 66 West, Weld County, Colorado. The proposed new facilities are to be bi-directional, will increase deliverability and will cost \$506,600. The deliveries at the Fort Lupton delivery point will provide service to PSCo's Fort St. Vrain power plant and other loads in the area. Currently, there is 15,500 Dth/d of entitlement under existing agreements, but after the proposed installation, the initial deliveries will be up to 100,000 Dth/d. The total annual and daily contract entitlement for the contracts serving the Fort Lupton delivery facilities are within the certificated entitlements. CIG's existing tariff does not prohibit this change and CIG states that there is sufficient capacity to accomplish the increased deliveries

without detriment or disadvantage to other customers.

Comment date: October 16, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. Colorado Interstate Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-712-000]

Take notice that on August 25, 1995, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP95-712-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to operate a new delivery point, the South Bennett delivery facilities, for service under CIG's existing Rate Schedule NNT-2 for Eastern Colorado Utility Company (Eastern Colorado), a local distribution company, in Arapahoe County, Colorado under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-21-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CIG states that it will operate a tap, two-inch tee, valve, approximately 50 feet of two-inch pipe and appurtenant facilities. CIG states that it plans to construct these facilities pursuant to Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act. CIG estimates that cost of the proposed facilities is approximately \$10,000. CIG asserts that it will provide transportation service to Eastern Colorado pursuant to its open access blanket certificate; and therefore, it has authorization for the proposed service. Additionally, CIG notes that the proposed service is not prohibited by an existing CIG tariff. CIG states that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries to the proposed facilities without detriment or disadvantage to CIG's other customers.

CIG states that it does not currently make deliveries to Eastern Colorado at the proposed South Bennett delivery facility. CIG asserts that the proposed facilities will be capable of delivering approximately 850 Dth/d. Additionally, CIG notes that the end use of the gas delivered by CIG to Eastern Colorado will be for new residential development. CIG claims that the impact of the proposed changes will be minimal because of the proposed delivery volume size and the use of an existing agreement.

Comment date: October 16, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

4. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-717-000]

Take notice that on August 29, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101 filed in Docket No. CP95-717-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to utilize the facilities originally installed for the delivery of NGPA Section 311 gas to Missouri Gas Energy (MGE) for the Simmons chicken hatchery in McDonald County, Missouri, and for other purposes under Williams' blanket authorization issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williams states that it will utilize the Section 311 facilities installed to deliver transportation gas to MGE for Simmons for any purpose. Williams began delivering gas to MGE for Simmons on July 31, 1995 and reported such initial transportation in Docket No. ST95-3275-000. The authorization Williams is requesting will allow receipt point flexibility in the future. Williams states that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries specified without detriment to its other customers.

The cost to construct the facilities was \$57,875 which will be partially reimbursed.

Comment date: October 16, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-22452 Filed 9-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. ER95-1381-000]

Alliance Strategies; Notice of Issuance of Order

September 6, 1995.

On July 17, 1995, Alliance Strategies (Alliance) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which Alliance will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions as a marketer. Alliance also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, Alliance requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by Alliance.

On August 25, 1995, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Applications, Office of Electric Power Regulation, granted requests for blanket approval under part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Alliance should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, Alliance is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of Alliance's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is September 25, 1995.

Copies of the full text of the order are available from the Commission's Public